Guidelines issued to HKIAC and VIAC on the arbitral administration of corporate disputes in Russia

by Maxim Kulkov (Managing Partner) and Olga Kokoz (Senior Associate), KK&P

Legal update: archive | Published on 17-Jun-2020 | Russian Federation

The Russian Council for the Improvement of Arbitral Procedure has issued clarifications regarding certain disputed issues of Russian arbitration law in response to a joint written request by HKIAC and VIAC, which was addressed to it in February 2020.

The Russian Council for the Improvement of Arbitral Procedure has issued clarifications regarding certain disputed issues of Russian arbitration law in response to a joint written request by the Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC) and the Vienna International Arbitration Center (VIAC).

On 10 February 2020, HKIAC and VIAC, the only foreign arbitral institutions to have obtained the status of permanent arbitral institutions (PAI) in Russia, submitted their request for clarification (see *Legal updates, Russian Ministry of Justice grants VIAC permission to administer disputes in Russia* and *HKIAC approved to administer disputes in Russia*).

The Council clarified the discrepancy in article 225.1(2) of Commercial Procedural Code (CPC) and articles 7(7.1) and 45(7.1) of the Law on Arbitration, which made it unclear which corporate disputes can be administered by a PAI acting in Russia without devising special arbitration rules for corporate disputes, and which cannot (see *Article, Russian Arbitration Law 2016: key issues*). The following corporate disputes do **not** need to be administered under special corporate arbitration rules:

- Disputes regarding rights to shares and their incumbency.
- Disputes arising out of corporate agreements.
- Disputes related to the registrar's recording of rights to shares.

This clarification widens the scope of disputes that VIAC and HKIAC can administer since neither has, as yet, adopted such rules.

The Council reminded VIAC and HKIAC that disputes arising out of public procurement contracts will remain nonarbitrable until a special law is passed designating the arbitration institution entitled to administer such disputes. However, general civil procurement contracts are arbitrable.

Foreign arbitral institutions that do not have a permanent office in Russia (such as VIAC and HKIAC) may not administer Russian domestic arbitrations, even if they have PAI status.

The Council stated that PAIs may establish a special body to consider prima facie jurisdiction before the tribunal has been constituted. However, where the issue is in doubt, it must be referred to the tribunal. PAIs also need to inform the parties and the tribunal of possible violations of Russian arbitration law.

Despite not being legally binding, the Council's clarifications represent a useful comment on Russian arbitration law not only for VIAC and HKIAC, but also for state courts and practitioners.

Source: Russian Council Issues Response to HKIAC-VIAC Joint Request for Clarifications.

END OF DOCUMENT

Related Content
Topics
HKIAC
National arbitration legislation
Institutional and Ad hoc Arbitration - General
Practice notes
Arbitrating under the HKIAC Administered Arbitration Rules (2018): step-by-step guide • Maintained
VIAC arbitration (Vienna Rules 2018): a step-by-step guide • Maintained
Standard clauses
Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC): standard arbitration clauses • Maintained
Articles
Russian Arbitration Law 2016: key issues • Published on 23-Jan-2017
Country Q&A
Arbitration procedures and practice in the Russian Federation: overview • Law stated as at 01-Oct-2016
Legal update: archive
Russian Ministry of Justice grants VIAC permission to administer disputes in Russia • Published on
17-Jul-2019
HKIAC approved to administer disputes in Russia • Published on 09-Apr-2019